

IN THIS **ISSUE**

INSURANCE

When is a shareholder's interest an insurable one?

The concept of an insurable interest forms part of the foundation of a contract of insurance. In South African insurance law, without an insurable interest a contract of insurance will be invalid.





Until 2013, shareholders by default could not have an insurable interest in the company's assets in which

they hold shares.

INSURANCE

When is a shareholder's interest an insurable one?

The concept of an insurable interest forms part of the foundation of a contract of insurance. In South African insurance law, without an insurable interest a contract of insurance will be invalid. Usually, a person is said to have an insurable interest where he or she faces financial harm on the loss or destruction of the subject matter which they have insured.

While common sense dictates that the owner of property has an insurable interest in such property by virtue of his or her ownership, the position is less clear as to whether a person other than the owner can have an insurable interest in another person's property where destruction of such property will cause such a person to incur financial loss.

The above scenario is relevant in so far as shareholders of companies are concerned. Until 2013, shareholders by default could not have an insurable interest in the company's assets in which they hold shares, based on the English decision of *Macaura v Northern Assurance 1925 AC 619*. However, in 2013, the Western Cape High Court's decision in *Lorcom Thirteen (Pty) Ltd v Zurich Insurance Company South Africa Ltd* 2013 (5) SA 42 (WCC) expanded the concept of an insurable interest.

The plaintiff in this matter, Lorcom, was the sole shareholder in Gansbaai Fishing Wholesalers (Pty) Ltd (GFW), its subsidiary. GFW owned a fishing vessel in which Lorcom was vested with a right of use and was to be vested with ownership at a later effective date. Lorcom took out an insurance policy with Zurich Insurance to insure against any loss or damage to the vessel. When the vessel was lost at sea, Lorcom lodged a claim with Zurich Insurance, which the latter repudiated on the basis that Lorcom lacked an insurable interest as it was not the owner of the vessel which formed the subject matter of the insurance policy. Lorcom thus sued Zurich Insurance for R3 million owed to it in terms of the insurance policy.

Lorcom's position was that it had an insurable interest on the basis that it was the sole shareholder of the owner GFW, that Lorcom had the right of use of the vessel, and that in terms of the purchase agreement, Lorcom was to be vested with the ownership of the vessel by the effective date.

Upon an interpretation of the policy, the court found that Lorcom did have an insurable interest as it was a 100% shareholder of GFW, and there was therefore "a direct correlation between the company's financial welfare and the

CDH is a Level 1 BEE contributor – our clients will benefit by virtue of the recognition of 135% of their legal services spend with our firm for purposes of their own BEE scorecards.



INSURANCE

When is a shareholder's interest an insurable one?...continued

Such loss was capped at the market value of the asset at the time of the contract, which was an amount agreed on in the contract. The court's approach to an insurable interest in this case is preferable as it is based on common sense rather than legal technicalities.

shareholder's financial welfare." This factor, coupled with Lorcom's right of use and the purchase agreement which would have vested ownership with Lorcom, gave Lorcom an insurable interest entitling it to claim the loss in terms of the policy it took out with Zurich Insurance. Such loss was capped at the market value of the asset at the time of the contract, which was an amount agreed on in the contract. The court's approach to an insurable interest in this case is preferable as it is based on common sense rather than legal technicalities.

Unfortunately, the court was constrained by the facts before it and so it did not comment on the position where a shareholder as the insured does not hold 100% of the shares in a company. As such, there still exists uncertainty in South African insurance law as regards to the above position.

However, based on the reasoning in Lorcom Thirteen, there is no reason why a majority shareholder, though not holding 100% of the shares in a company, should not be able to insure the property of such a company. This is because the same logic applies to a majority shareholder as it would to a sole shareholder, being that the economic livelihood of a company may depend on a specific asset, and where that asset is then destroyed, this will have an effect on the shareholders of that company. Hence, such shareholders should be able to take out an insurance policy to cover the risk of loss or destruction of the company's property.

Roy Barendse and Fatena Ali





PROTECTION OF INVESTMENT IN AFRICA

20-22 April 2020

Presented by the Faculty of Law, University of Pretoria in collaboration with Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr (CDH).

The Protection of Investment in Africa is a first-of-its-kind executive focused on unpacking the fundamentals of in

The course, presented 1

POSTPONED UNTIL FURTHER NOTICE

Please note that we will reschedule the event. A new date will be announced in due course.

accommodating schedule,

.... De delivered through a hybrid teaching model, incorporating a combination of both online content and a three-day contact session.



CLICK HERE to access the course registration details and fees, presenter profiles, course content and programme.



Insuralex Global Insurance Lawyers Group (the world's leading insurance and reinsurance law firm network).

CLICK HERE TO READ MORE



CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2017 - 2020 ranked our Dispute Resolution practice in Band 1: Dispute Resolution.

CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2018 - 2020 ranked our Dispute Resolution practice in Band 2: Insurance.

CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2020 ranked our Public Procurement sector in Band 2: Public Procurement.

CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2017 - 2020 ranked our Dispute Resolution practice in Band 2: Restructuring/Insolvency.

CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2020 ranked our Corporate Investigations sector in Band 3: Corporate Investigations.

Tim Fletcher ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2019 - 2020 in Band 3: Dispute Resolution.

Pieter Conradie ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2019 - 2020 as Senior Statespeople: Dispute Resolution.

Tobie Jordaan ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2020 as an up and coming Restructuring/Insolvency lawyer.

Jonathan Witts-Hewinson ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2017 - 2020 in Band 2: Dispute Resolution.





OUR TEAM

For more information about our Dispute Resolution practice and services, please contact:



Tim Fletcher National Practice Head Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1061

E tim.fletcher@cdhlegal.com



Thabile Fuhrmann

Chairperson Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1331

E thabile.fuhrmann@cdhlegal.com

Timothy Baker

Director

T +27 (0)21 481 6308

E timothy.baker@cdhlegal.com

Eugene Bester

Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1173

E eugene.bester@cdhlegal.com

Jackwell Feris

Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1825

E jackwell.feris@cdhlegal.com

Anja Hofmeyr

Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1129

E anja.hofmeyr@cdhlegal.com

Tobie Jordaan

Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1356

E tobie.jordaan@cdhlegal.com

Corné Lewis

Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1042

E corne.lewis@cdhlegal.com

Richard Marcus

Director

T +27 (0)21 481 6396

E richard.marcus@cdhlegal.com

Burton Meyer

Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1056

E burton.meyer@cdhlegal.com

Rishaban Moodley

Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1666

E rishaban.moodley@cdhlegal.com

Mongezi Mpahlwa

Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1476

E mongezi.mpahlwa@cdhlegal.com

Kgosi Nkaiseng

Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1864

E kgosi.nkaiseng@cdhlegal.com

Byron O'Connor

Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1140

E byron.oconnor@cdhlegal.com

Lucinde Rhoodie

Director

T +27 (0)21 405 6080

E lucinde.rhoodie@cdhlegal.com

Belinda Scriba

Director

T +27 (0)21 405 6139

E belinda.scriba@cdhlegal.com

Tim Smit

Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1085

E tim.smit@cdhlegal.com

Joe Whittle

Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1138

E joe.whittle@cdhlegal.com

Roy Barendse

Executive Consultant

T +27 (0)21 405 6177

E roy.barendse@cdhlegal.com

Pieter Conradie

Executive Consultant

T +27 (0)11 562 1071

E pieter.conradie@cdhlegal.com

Nick Muller

Executive Consultant

T +27 (0)21 481 6385 E nick.muller@cdhlegal.com

Jonathan Witts-Hewinson

Executive Consultant

T +27 (0)11 562 1146 E witts@cdhlegal.com

BBBEE STATUS: LEVEL ONE CONTRIBUTOR

Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr is very pleased to have achieved a Level 1 BBBEE verification under the new BBBEE Codes of Good Practice. Our BBBEE verification is one of several components of our transformation strategy and we continue to seek ways of improving it in a meaningful manner.

This information is published for general information purposes and is not intended to constitute legal advice. Specialist legal advice should always be sought in relation to any particular situation. Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr will accept no responsibility for any actions taken or not taken on the basis of this publication.

JOHANNESBURG

1 Protea Place, Sandton, Johannesburg, 2196. Private Bag X40, Benmore, 2010, South Africa. Dx 154 Randburg and Dx 42 Johannesburg. T +27 (0)11 562 1000 F +27 (0)11 562 1111 E jhb@cdhlegal.com

CAPE TOWN

11 Buitengracht Street, Cape Town, 8001. PO Box 695, Cape Town, 8000, South Africa. Dx 5 Cape Town. T +27 (0)21 481 6300 F +27 (0)21 481 6388 E ctn@cdhlegal.com

STELLENBOSCH

14 Louw Street, Stellenbosch Central, Stellenbosch, 7600. T +27 (0)21 481 6400 E cdhstellenbosch@cdhlegal.com

©2020 8749 APRIL













